

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Copyedited and fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

Revisiting the value of pre-hospital tracheal intubation: an all time systematic literature review extracting the Utstein airway core variables

Critical Care 2011, **15**:R26 doi:10.1186/cc9973

Hans Morten Lossius (hans.morten.lossius@snla.no)

Stephen JM Sollid (Stephen.Sollid@snla.no)

Marius Rehn (marius.rehn@snla.no)

David J Lockey (David.Lockey@nbt.nhs.uk)

ISSN 1364-8535

Article type Research

Submission date 10 November 2010

Acceptance date 18 January 2011

Publication date 18 January 2011

Article URL <http://ccforum.com/content/15/1/R26>

This peer-reviewed article was published immediately upon acceptance. It can be downloaded, printed and distributed freely for any purposes (see copyright notice below).

Articles in *Critical Care* are listed in PubMed and archived at PubMed Central.

For information about publishing your research in *Critical Care* go to

<http://ccforum.com/info/instructions/>

Revisiting the value of pre-hospital tracheal intubation: an all time systematic literature review extracting the Utstein airway core variables

Hans Morten Lossius^{1,2,*}, Stephen JM Sollid^{1,2,3}, Marius Rehn^{1,4,5} and David J Lockety^{6,7}

¹ Department of Research, The Norwegian Air Ambulance Foundation, Holterveien 24, PO Box 94, N-1441 Drøbak, Norway

² Institute of Surgical Science, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Bergen, Harald Hårfagres gate 1, PO Box 7804, N-5020 Bergen, Norway

³ Emergency Division, Oslo University Hospital Ullevål, Kirkeveien 166, PO Box 4956 Nydalen N-0424 Oslo, Norway

⁴ Akershus University Hospital, Nordbyhagaveien 30, N-1478 Lørenskog, Norway

⁵ University of Oslo, Faculty Division Oslo University Hospital, Kirkeveien 166, PO Box 1130 Blindern, N-0318 Oslo, Norway

⁶ London Helicopter Emergency Service, Royal London Hospital, Whitechapel Road, London E1 1BB, UK

⁷ Department of Anaesthesia, Frenchay Hospital, Winterbourne, South Gloucestershire BS16 1, UK

*Correspondence to:

Hans Morten Lossius

hans.morten.lossius@snla.no

Abstract

Introduction Although tracheal intubation (TI) in the pre-hospital setting is regularly carried out by emergency medical service (EMS) providers throughout the world, its value is widely debated. Heterogeneity in procedures, providers, patients, systems and stated outcomes, and inconsistency in data reporting make scientific reports difficult to interpret and compare, and the majority are of limited quality. To hunt down what is really known about the value of pre-hospital TI, we determined the rate of reported Utstein airway variables (28 core variables and 12 fixed-system variables) found in current scientific publications on pre-hospital TI.

Methods We performed an all time systematic search according to the PRISMA guidelines of Medline and EMBASE to identify original research pertaining to pre-hospital TI in adult patients.

Results From 1,076 identified records, 73 original papers were selected. Information was extracted according to an Utstein template for data reporting from in-the-field advanced airway management. Fifty-nine studies were from North American EMS systems. Of these, 46 (78%) described services in which non-physicians conducted TI. In 12 of the 13 non-North American EMS systems, physicians performed the pre-hospital TI. Overall, two were randomised controlled trials (RCTs), and 65 were observational studies. None of the studies presented the complete set of recommended Utstein airway variables. The median number of core variables reported was 10 (max 21, min 2, IQR 8-12), and the median number of fixed system variables was 5 (max 11, min 0, IQR 4-8). Among the most frequently reported variables were "patient category" and "service mission type", reported in 86% and 71% of the studies, respectively. Among the least-reported variables

were "co-morbidity" and "type of available ventilator", both reported in 2% and 1% of the studies, respectively.

Conclusions Core data required for proper interpretation of results were frequently not recorded and reported in studies investigating TI in adults. This makes it difficult to compare scientific reports, assess their validity, and extrapolate to other EMS systems. Pre-hospital TI is a complex intervention, and terminology and study design must be improved to substantiate future evidence based clinical practise.

Introduction

Tracheal intubation (TI) to secure the airway of severely ill or injured patients is a critical intervention regularly conducted by emergency medical service (EMS) providers throughout the world. This activity is based on the assumption that, in keeping with in-hospital practice, a compromised airway should be secured as early as possible to ensure adequate ventilation and oxygenation. However, because pre-hospital environmental and infrastructural factors can be challenging, intubation success rates are variable [1]. When TI is performed incorrectly, it can provoke adverse events and may worsen outcome in some patient groups [2, 3, 4]. Even when performed correctly, suboptimal ventilation following TI may increase the risk of fatal outcome in certain patient subgroups [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

The use of pre-hospital TI is widely debated (Additional file 1 presents a literature review), but the majority of TI-related studies are thought to be of limited value [10, 11, 12]. The core question therefore remains unanswered: does TI in the pre-hospital setting fail or result in adverse events at rates that exceed the benefits of adequately performed TI?

Rapid sequence induction (RSI) and TI is regarded as the standard of care for airway management during in-hospital emergencies. It seems reasonable that this practice should be applied in the pre-hospital phase to prevent delay in good oxygenation and ventilation. However because of available expertise and pre-hospital external factors, several alternatives to RSI TI are practiced. Environment, equipment, procedures, provider competence, practical skills, and drug protocols vary between emergency rooms and

EMS systems [13], among EMS systems [14, 15], and within EMS systems [16, 17].

These variations have been reported to influence the frequency and quality of TI and, in all likelihood, patient outcome [1, 18].

However, the heterogeneity of procedures, providers, patients, systems and monitored outcomes makes the published scientific reports difficult to interpret and compare, and inconsistency in the types of data reported exacerbates the problem. To improve reporting, an international expert panel published a consensus-based, Utstein style template for the uniform reporting of data on pre-hospital advanced airway management [19]. The template defines inclusion criteria along with 28 core variables and 19 optional variables for documenting and reporting data. The 28 core variables are in three groups: “System variables”, “Patient variables” and “Post-intervention variables” (Table 1). In addition, the template recommends that 12 fixed-system variables be reported (Table 2) to accurately describe the particular EMS system from which the data was collected.

The aim of this study was to determine the rate of reported Utstein airway variables (28 core variables and 12 fixed-system variables) found in current scientific publications on pre-hospital TI [19].

Materials and methods

Study eligibility criteria

We included original English language articles pertaining to pre-hospital TI in adult patients. Studies that investigated paediatric cohorts and studies that focused on surgical airways were excluded. Studies that compared TI to other airway devices were also excluded.

Identification and selection of studies: data extraction

A systematic search of Medline and EMBASE according to the PRISMA guidelines to identify all relevant studies published prior to September 1, 2009 was conducted (see Table 3 for search strategy) [20]. All records were converted into an EndNote bibliographic database (EndNote X1 © Thompson Reuters, UK). Two reviewers (HML & MR) examined the titles and abstracts of the records for eligibility. The full texts of all potentially relevant studies were obtained, and two reviewers (HML & MR) assessed whether each study met the eligibility criteria. The reference lists of the included studies and a recent relevant COCHRANE review were inspected to identify additional relevant studies [11].

Study characteristics

One reviewer (HML) used a standardised Excel spreadsheet (© 2007 Microsoft Corporation) and extracted information from the included studies according to the newly published template for uniform reporting of data regarding advanced airway management

in the field [19]. Reported variables that matched the Utstein variables were regarded as identical, although definitions sometimes differed or remained unreported.

The data were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, v. 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL), and the distributions were reported as medians and inter-quartile ranges (IQR). Being a systematic literature review, this study did not need approval from The Regional Committee for Research Ethics or the National Social Science Services.

Results

Literature search

We identified 1,070 records in the initial search. Another six records were identified through other sources. Among these 1,076 records, 75 full-text original papers were assessed. Two of these was excluded from further analysis, one because of qualitative methodology and one being a preliminary report, leaving 73 studies for the final analysis (Figure 1).

Characteristics of the included studies

The majority of the studies (59, 81%) were from North American EMS systems. Of these, 46 (78%) described services in which non-physicians conducted TI. In contrast 13 (87%) of the 15 non-North American EMS systems, physicians performed the pre-hospital TI. Of the 47 non-physician-manned systems, 25 (53%) performed drug-assisted TI. Sixty-five studies had applied an observational methodology (89%), of which 29 were conducted prospectively and 36 retrospectively (Additional file 1). We identified two randomised controlled trials (RCT) and six non-RCT interventional studies.

Core variables

None of the included studies presented the complete set of 28 variables recommended in the template [19]. The maximum number of core variables reported in a single study was 21. The minimum number reported was two, whereas the median number of core variables reported from all the studies was 10 (IQR 8-12).

The most frequent reported core variable was "patient category", reported in 63 (86%) of the 73 studies (Table 4). The least reported variable was "co-morbidity", reported in only 2 (3%) of 73 studies (Table 4).

Fixed system variables.

Of the 12 fixed system variables, the maximum number reported in a single study was 11. The median number reported was five (IQR 4-8), and two studies did not report any of the recommended fixed system variables. The most frequently reported variable was "service mission type", which was reported in 52 (71%) of the 73 studies (Table 4). The least frequently reported fixed system variable was "type of available ventilator", which was only reported in one paper (1%) (Table 4).

All the studies included in the review are listed, and the number of matching core variables and fixed system variables from each study are presented in Additional file 1.

Discussion

Our systematic literature review of studies pertaining to TI of adults revealed deficient reporting of the Utstein airway core variables as defined by an international expert group. Recommended core variables, such as "post interventional ETCO₂", "number of attempts at airway intervention" and "co-morbidity", which are all recognised as being highly associated with efficiency and outcome, were missing in the majority of the papers. Fixed system variables were incompletely reported or absent in most of the included studies. The low number of reported core variables makes it difficult to compare different scientific reports, assess their validity, and extrapolate to other EMS systems. One could claim that several of the included studies with a low number of documented and reported core variables in fact only report the occurrence and performance of TI within their system and therefore are not reflective of the effects or efficiency of pre-hospital TI.

Several studies have focused on the intricacy of implementing TI in the pre-hospital setting [21, 22, 23]. TI represents a *complex intervention* (Figure 2) that contains several separate but highly interacting components. Scientific studies on this subject are difficult to design and interpret because of tremendous variability in (and insufficient description of) operator experience, technique, and patient case-mix, making it difficult to understand or eliminate confounding factors [24]. Furthermore, neither contemporary interventions nor pre-, per- or post-intervention factors highly likely to influence outcome are usually documented, analysed or adjusted for. Key in-hospital factors (likely to be concealed from the investigator) further confound the outcome analysis [25]. This finding may

explain why apparently similar studies present conflicting results and reach opposite conclusions.

RSI with oral intubation is the standard of care for drug-assisted emergency TI because it is widely recommended to be the safest way of performing this high-risk intervention [26, 27, 28]. However, only 19 (31%) of the 73 papers in this study reported the variable "Drugs for airway management available on scene". Among papers that reported this variable, the definition and extent of drug assistance varied. Some services had protocols based on administering a muscle relaxant only; some combined this with a small dose of a sedative or analgesic, whereas some administered a traditional RSI. The presence or absence of drug assistance and the availability and dose of specific agents are likely to influence the success rate of TI and the rate and severity of adverse events. This information is essential to correctly assess the reported outcomes.

The majority of the included papers were based on observational studies, commonly referred to as low-quality evidence [29]. In a complex intervention, a true association between a single *cause* (TI) and an *effect* (survival) is difficult to prove (Figure 2). The presented results are flawed by multiple confounding factors, and external validity is questionable. Even randomisation may fail to exclude the major confounders, a phenomenon demonstrated by Gausche et al. in one of the few randomised trials on pre-hospital TI [30]. The investigators reported no additional effect on survival or neurological outcome when paramedics performed pre-hospital TI compared to traditional bag/valve/mask ventilation in critically ill paediatric patients. The study set out

to analyse the effect of the intervention itself, but due to an "intention to treat protocol", the intervention group was heavily confounded (abstained intubation, repetitive attempts of intubation, or failed intubation). The study instead demonstrates the effects of suboptimal provider competence and TI complications, and it illustrates the challenges of using traditional analytical techniques when assessing a complex intervention.

Several recent reviews have assessed the evidence of a pre-hospital TI effect [10, 31], including a Cochrane review [11]. They consistently conclude that the available evidence is limited and weak. It has been suggested that the traditional method of systematic review is of limited use in the evaluation of a complex intervention [32]. The lack of a standard definition of pre-hospital TI poses a significant challenge for systematic reviewers and readers of these reviews. With respect to the Cochrane review on pre-hospital TI [11], the number of studies located in our review illustrates that any strict inclusion criteria for a systematic review will exclude the majority of studies published because pre-hospital TI is often performed differently or described inadequately. It also questions the whole evidence base on which current practice is based.

Limitations

We have assessed the included studies assuming that all the recommended Utstein airway core variables are important to document for each study. Some studies focus on particular aspects of pre-hospital TI intervention and may not need to report all the core variables from the template. Nonetheless, understanding the correlations between the intervention and its outcomes presupposes that all the interacting factors are accounted for.

The Utstein airway template still requires validation. Not all the variables relevant to outcome may have been identified. In a systematic review of studies on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, a large variability in outcome not entirely explained by variability in documented Utstein variables, was found [33].

We also acknowledge that some relevant studies may not have been located during our database search. In the future, more homogenous reporting of studies pertaining to pre-hospital TI may reduce these limitations.

Conclusions

Our systematic literature review of studies investigating TI in adults demonstrated that core data required for proper interpretation of results were frequently not recorded and reported. The inconsistent and imprecise reporting of data may be the explanation for the fact that, despite numerous published studies on this subject, there is an ongoing debate on if, when, how and by whom pre-hospital advanced airway management should be performed. Pre-hospital TI is a complex intervention, and terminology and study design must be improved to substantiate future evidence based clinical practice. To support this, there is a significant need for an international standard for documenting and reporting pre-hospital TI in severely ill and injured patients. The newly published template might be a first and important step in this direction [19].

Key messages

- Studies investigating pre-hospital tracheal intubation in adults lack the core data required for useful interpretation of results.

- The published studies investigating pre-hospital tracheal intubation rarely present high quality scientific evidence.
- Pre-hospital TI is a complex intervention, and terminology and study design must be developed to substantiate future evidence based clinical practice.
- A recently published template for reporting advanced pre-hospital airway management might be a first and important step in this direction

Abbreviations

EMS, emergency medical services; ETCO₂, end tidal carbon dioxide; IQR, inter-quartile range; RCTm randomised controlled trial; RSI, rapid sequence intubation; TI, tracheal intubation.

Authors' contributions

HML, SJMS and DJL developed the protocol. MR and HML conducted the systematic review. HML performed the analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1 Hubble MW, Brown L, Wilfong DA, Hertelendy A, Benner RW, Richards ME. **A meta-analysis of prehospital airway control techniques part I: orotracheal and nasotracheal intubation success rates.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2010, **14**:377-401.
- 2 Lockey D, Davies G, Coats T. **Survival of trauma patients who have prehospital tracheal intubation without anaesthesia or muscle relaxants: observational study.** *BMJ* 2001, **323**:141.
- 3 Wang HE, Cook LJ, Chang CC, Yealy DM, Lave JR. **Outcomes after out-of-hospital endotracheal intubation errors.** *Resuscitation* 2009, **80**:50-5.
- 4 Bochicchio GV, Scalea TM. **Is field intubation useful?** *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2003, **9**:524-9.
- 5 Warner KJ, Sharar SR, Copass MK, Bulger EM. **Prehospital management of the difficult airway: a prospective cohort study.** *J Emerg Med* 2009, **36**:257-65.
- 6 Davis DP. **Early ventilation in traumatic brain injury.** *Resuscitation* 2008, **76**:333-40.
- 7 Helm M, Hauke J, Lampl L. **A prospective study of the quality of pre-hospital emergency ventilation in patients with severe head injury.** *Br J Anaesth* 2002, **88**:345-9.
- 8 Shafi S, Gentilello L. **Pre-hospital endotracheal intubation and positive pressure ventilation is associated with hypotension and decreased survival in hypovolemic trauma patients: an analysis of the National Trauma Data Bank.** *J Trauma* 2005, **59**:1140-5.
- 9 Di Bartolomeo S, Sanson G, Nardi G, Michelutto V, Scian F. **Inadequate ventilation of patients with severe brain injury: a possible drawback to prehospital advanced trauma care?** *Eur J Emerg Med* 2003, **10**:268-71.
- 10 von Elm E, Schoettker P, Henzi I, Osterwalder J, Walder B. **Pre-hospital tracheal intubation in patients with traumatic brain injury: systematic review of current evidence.** *Br J Anaesth* 2009, **103**:371-86.
- 11 Lecky F, Bryden D, Little R, Tong N, Moulton C. **Emergency intubation for acutely ill and injured patients.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008:CD001429.
- 12 Dunham CM, Barraco RD, Clark DE, Daley BJ, Davis FE 3rd, Gibbs MA, Knuth T, Letarte PB, Luchette FA, Omert L, Weireter LJ, Wiles CE 3rd; EAST Practice Management Guidelines Work Group. **Guidelines for emergency tracheal intubation immediately after traumatic injury.** *J Trauma* 2003, **55**:162-79.
- 13 Garza AG, Gratton MC, McElroy J, Lindholm D, Coontz D. **Environmental factors encountered during out-of-hospital intubation attempts.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2008, **12**:286-9.
- 14 Huter L, Schreiber T, Reichel J, Schwarzkopf K. **Present-day prehospital airway management in the former Eastern German state of Thuringia: equipment and education of emergency physicians.** *Eur J Emerg Med* 2009, **16**:97-9.
- 15 Ridgway S, Hodzovic I, Woollard M, Latto IP. **Prehospital airway management in Ambulance Services in the United Kingdom.** *Anaesthesia* 2004, **59**:1091-4.

- 16 Timmermann A, Russo SG, Hollmann MW. **Paramedic versus emergency physician emergency medical service: role of the anaesthesiologist and the European versus the Anglo-American concept.** *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2008, **21**:222-7.
- 17 Jeremie N, Seltzer S, Lenfant F, Ricard-Hibon A, Facon A, Cabrita B, Messant I, d'Athis P, Freysz M. **Rapid sequence induction: a survey of practices in three French prehospital mobile emergency units.** *Eur J Emerg Med* 2006, **13**:148-55.
- 18 Garza AG, Algren DA, Gratton MC, Ma OJ. **Populations at risk for intubation nonattempt and failure in the prehospital setting.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2005, **9**:163-6.
- 19 Sollid SJ, Lockey D, Lossius HM. **A consensus-based template for uniform reporting of data from pre-hospital advanced airway management.** *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2009, **17**:58.
- 20 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. **Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement.** *BMJ* 2009, **339**:b2535.
- 21 Thomas JB, Abo BN, Wang HE. **Paramedic perceptions of challenges in out-of-hospital endotracheal intubation.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2007, **11**:219-23.
- 22 Wang HE, Abo BN, Lave JR, Yealy DM. **How would minimum experience standards affect the distribution of out-of-hospital endotracheal intubations?** *Ann Emerg Med* 2007, **50**:246-52.
- 23 Ummenhofer W, Scheidegger D. **Role of the physician in prehospital management of trauma: European perspective.** *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2002, **8**:559-65.
- 24 Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. **Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance.** *BMJ* 2008, **337**:a1655.
- 25 Langhelle A, Tyvold SS, Lexow K, Hapnes SA, Sunde K, Steen PA. **In-hospital factors associated with improved outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. A comparison between four regions in Norway.** *Resuscitation* 2003, **56**:247-63.
- 26 Dunham CM, Barraco RD, Clark DE, Daley BJ, Davis FE 3rd, Gibbs MA, Knuth T, Letarte PB, Luchette FA, Omert L, Weireter LJ, Wiles CE 3rd; EAST Practice Management Guidelines Work Group. **Guidelines for emergency tracheal intubation immediately after traumatic injury.** *J Trauma* 2003, **55**:162-79.
- 27 The Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. **AAGBI safety guideline: Pre-hospital Anaesthesia.** London: AAGBI; 2009 Febr. 19 p.
- 28 Berlac P, Hyldmo PK, Kongstad P, Kurola J, Nakstad AR, Sandberg M. **Pre-hospital airway management: guidelines from a task force from the Scandinavian Society for Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine.** *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2008, **7**:897-907.
- 29 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ; GRADE Working Group. **GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations.** *BMJ* 2008, **336**:924-6.

- 30 Gausche M, Lewis RJ, Stratton SJ, Haynes BE, Gunter CS, Goodrich SM, Poore PD, McCollough MD, Henderson DP, Pratt FD, Seidel JS. **Effect of out-of-hospital pediatric endotracheal intubation on survival and neurological outcome: a controlled clinical trial.** *JAMA* 2000, **283**:783-90.
- 31 Davis DP. **Prehospital intubation of brain-injured patients.** *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2008, **14**:142-8.
- 32 Shepperd S, Lewin S, Straus S, Haynes BE, Gunter CS, Goodrich SM, Poore PD, McCollough MD, Henderson DP, Pratt FD, Seidel JS. **Can we systematically review studies that evaluate complex interventions?** *PLoS Med* 2009, **6**:e1000086.
- 33 Fredriksson M, Herlitz J, Nichol G. **Variation in outcome in studies of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a review of studies conforming to the Utstein guidelines.** *Am J Emerg Med* 2003, **21**:276-81
- 34 Adams BD, Cuniowski PA, Muck A, and De Lorenzo, RA. **Registry of emergency airways arriving at combat hospitals.** *J Trauma* 2008, **64**:1548-554
- 35 Adnet F, Jouriles NJ, Le Toumelin P, Hennequin B, Taillandier C, Rayeh F, Couvreur J, Nougier B, Nadiras P, Ladka A, and Fleury M. **Survey of out-of-hospital emergency intubations in the French prehospital medical system: a multicenter study.** *Ann Emerg Med* 1998,**32**:454-60
- 36 Arbabi S, Jurkovich GJ, Wahl WL, Franklin GA, Hemmila MR, Taheri PA, and Maier RV. **A comparison of prehospital and hospital data in trauma patients.** *J Trauma* 2004,**56**:1029-32
- 37 Bair AE, Smith D, and Lichty L. **Intubation confirmation techniques associated with unrecognized non-tracheal intubations by pre-hospital providers.** *J Emerg Med* 2005,**28**:403-7
- 38 Bochicchio GV, Ilahi O, Joshi M, Bochicchio K, and Scalea TM. **Endotracheal intubation in the field does not improve outcome in trauma patients who present without an acutely lethal traumatic brain injury.** *J Trauma* 2003,**54**:307-11
- 39 Bozeman WP, Kleiner DM, and Huggett V. **A comparison of rapid-sequence intubation and etomidate-only intubation in the prehospital air medical setting.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2006, **10**: 8-13
- 40 Bradley JS, Billows GL, Olinger ML, Boha SP, Cordell WH, and Nelson DR. **Prehospital oral endotracheal intubation by rural basic emergency medical technicians.** *Ann Emerg Med* 1998, **32**:26-32
- 41 Bulger EM, Copass MK, Sabath DR, Maier RV, and Jurkovich GJ. **The use of neuromuscular blocking agents to facilitate prehospital intubation does not impair outcome after traumatic brain injury.** *J Trauma* 2005,**58**: 718-23
- 42 Bushby N, Fitzgerald M, Cameron P, Marasco S, Bystrzycki A, Rosenfeld JV, and Bailey M. **Prehospital intubation and chest decompression is associated with unexpected survival in major thoracic blunt trauma.** *Emerg Med Australas* 2005, **17**:443-49
- 43 Cantineau JP, Tazarourte K, Merckx P, Martin L, Reynaud P, Berson C, Bertrand C, Aussavy F, Lepresle E, Pentier C, and Duvaldestin P. **[Tracheal intubation in prehospital resuscitation: importance of rapid-sequence induction anesthesia].** *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 1997, **16**: 878-84

- 44 Christensen EF and Hoyer CC. **Prehospital tracheal intubation in severely injured patients: a Danish observational study.** *BMJ* 2003, **327**:533-4
- 45 Cobas MA, De la Pena MA, Manning R, Candiotti K, and Varon AJ. **Prehospital intubations and mortality: a level 1 trauma center perspective.** *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 2009, **109**: 489-93
- 46 Colwell CB, McVaney KE, Haukoos JS, Wiebe DP, Gravitz CS, Dunn WW, and Bryan T. **An evaluation of out-of-hospital advanced airway management in an urban setting.** *Acad Emerg Med* 2005, **12**: 417-22
- 47 Cudnik MT, Newgard CD, Wang H, Bangs C, and Herrington Rt. **Distance impacts mortality in trauma patients with an intubation attempt.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2008, **12**:459-66
- 48 Cudnik MT, Newgard CD, Wang H, Bangs C, and Herrington Rt. **Endotracheal intubation increases out-of-hospital time in trauma patients.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2007, **11**:224-9
- 49 Davis DP, Ochs M, Hoyt DB, Bailey D, Marshall LK, and Rosen P. **Paramedic-administered neuromuscular blockade improves prehospital intubation success in severely head-injured patients.** *J Trauma* 2003, **55**:713-9
- 50 Davis DP, Hoyt DB, Ochs M, Fortlage D, Holbrook T, Marshall LK, and Rosen P. **The effect of paramedic rapid sequence intubation on outcome in patients with severe traumatic brain injury.** *J Trauma* 2003, **54**:444-53
- 51 Davis DP, Vadeboncoeur TF, Ochs M, Poste JC, Vilke GM, and Hoyt DB. **The association between field Glasgow Coma Scale score and outcome in patients undergoing paramedic rapid sequence intubation.** *J Emerg Med* 2005, **29**:391-7
- 52 Davis DP, Peay J, Sise MJ, Vilke GM, Kennedy F, Eastman AB, Velky T, and Hoyt DB. **The impact of prehospital endotracheal intubation on outcome in moderate to severe traumatic brain injury.** *J Trauma* 2005, **58**:933-9
- 53 Davis DP, Fisher R, Buono C, Brainard C, Smith S, Ochs G, Poste JC, and Dunford JV. **Predictors of intubation success and therapeutic value of paramedic airway management in a large, urban EMS system.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2006, **10**:356-62
- 54 Davis DP, Hwang JQ, and Dunford JV. **Rate of decline in oxygen saturation at various pulse oximetry values with prehospital rapid sequence intubation.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2008, **12**:46-51
- 55 Denver MASG. **A prospective multicenter evaluation of prehospital airway management performance in a large metropolitan region.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2009, **13**:304-10
- 56 Eckstein M, Chan L, Schneir A, and Palmer R. **Effect of prehospital advanced life support on outcomes of major trauma patients.** *J Trauma* 2000, **48**:643-8
- 57 Ellis DY, Davies GE, Pearn J, and Lockey D. **Prehospital rapid-sequence intubation of patients with trauma with a Glasgow Coma Score of 13 or 14 and the subsequent incidence of intracranial pathology.** *Emerg Med J* 2007, **24**:139-41
- 58 Fakhry SM, Scanlon JM, Robinson L, Askari R, Watenpaugh RL, Fata P, Hauda WE, and Trask A. **Prehospital rapid sequence intubation for head trauma: conditions for a successful program.** *J Trauma* 2006, **60**:997-1001

- 59 Frankel H, Rozycki G, Champion H, Harviel JD, and Bass R. **The use of TRISS methodology to validate prehospital intubation by urban EMS providers.** *Am J Emerg Med* 1997, **15**:630-2
- 60 Gunning M, O'Loughlin E, Fletcher M, Crilly J, Hooper M, and Ellis DY. **Emergency intubation: a prospective multicentre descriptive audit in an Australian helicopter emergency medical service.** *Emerg Med J* 2009, **26**:65-9
- 61 Helm M, Hauke J, and Lampl L. **A prospective study of the quality of pre-hospital emergency ventilation in patients with severe head injury.** *Br J Anaesth* 2002, **88**:345-9
- 62 Jacoby J, Heller M, Nicholas J, Patel N, Cesta M, Smith G, Jacob S, and Reed J. **Etomidate versus midazolam for out-of-hospital intubation: a prospective, randomized trial.** *Ann Emerg Med* 2006, **47**:525-30
- 63 Jemmett ME, Kendal KM, Fourre MW, and Burton JH. **Unrecognized misplacement of endotracheal tubes in a mixed urban to rural emergency medical services setting.** *Acad Emerg Med* 2003, **10**:961-5
- 64 Jeremie N, Seltzer S, Lenfant F, Ricard-Hibon A, Facon A, Cabrita B, Messant I, d'Athis P, and Freysz M. **Rapid sequence induction: a survey of practices in three French prehospital mobile emergency units.** *Eur J Emerg Med* 2006, **13**:148-55
- 65 Jones JH, Murphy MP, Dickson RL, Somerville GG, and Brizendine EJ. **Emergency physician-verified out-of-hospital intubation: miss rates by paramedics.** *Acad Emerg Med* 2004, **11**:707-9
- 66 Karch SB, Lewis T, Young S, Hales D, and Ho CH. **Field intubation of trauma patients: complications, indications, and outcomes.** *Am J Emerg Med* 1996, **14**:617-9
- 67 Katz SH and Falk JL. **Misplaced endotracheal tubes by paramedics in an urban emergency medical services system.** *Ann Emerg Med* 2001, **37**:32-7
- 68 Klemen P and Grmec S. **Effect of pre-hospital advanced life support with rapid sequence intubation on outcome of severe traumatic brain injury.** *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2006, **50**:1250-4
- 69 Lockey D, Davies G, and Coats T. **Survival of trauma patients who have prehospital tracheal intubation without anaesthesia or muscle relaxants: observational study.** *BMJ* 2001, **323**:141
- 70 Mackay CA, Terris J, and Coats TJ. **Prehospital rapid sequence induction by emergency physicians: is it safe?** *Emerg Med J* 2001, **18**:20-4
- 71 McIntosh SE, Swanson ER, McKeone A, and Barton ED. **Location of airway management in air medical transport.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2008, **12**:438-42
- 72 Murray JA, Demetriades D, Berne TV, Stratton SJ, Cryer HG, Bongard F, Fleming A, and Gaspard D. **Prehospital intubation in patients with severe head injury.** *J Trauma* 2000, **49**:1065-70
- 73 Newton A, Ratchford A, and Khan I. **Incidence of adverse events during prehospital rapid sequence intubation: a review of one year on the London Helicopter Emergency Medical Service.** *J Trauma* 2008, **64**:487-92
- 74 Ochs M, Davis D, Hoyt D, Bailey D, Marshall L, and Rosen P. **Paramedic-performed rapid sequence intubation of patients with severe head injuries.** *Ann Emerg Med* 2002, **40**:159-67

- 75 Oswalt JL, Hedges JR, Soifer BE, and Lowe DK. **Analysis of trauma intubations.** *Am J Emerg Med* 1992, **10**:511-4
- 76 Poste JC, Davis DP, Ochs M, Vilke GM, Castillo EM, Stern J, and Hoyt DB. **Air medical transport of severely head-injured patients undergoing paramedic rapid sequence intubation.** *Air Med J* 2004, **23**:36-40
- 77 Rhee KJ and O'Malley RJ. **Neuromuscular blockade-assisted oral intubation versus nasotracheal intubation in the prehospital care of injured patients.** *Ann Emerg Med* 1994, **23**:37-42
- 78 Ruchholtz S, Waydhas C, Ose C, Lewan U, and Nast-Kolb D. **Prehospital intubation in severe thoracic trauma without respiratory insufficiency: a matched-pair analysis based on the Trauma Registry of the German Trauma Society.** *J Trauma* 2002, **52**:879-86
- 79 Sing RF, Rotondo MF, Zonies DH, Schwab CW, Kauder DR, Ross SE, and Brathwaite CC. **Rapid sequence induction for intubation by an aeromedical transport team: a critical analysis.** *Am J Emerg Med* 1998, **16**:598-602
- 80 Slagt C, Zondervan A, Patka P, and de Lange JJ. **A retrospective analysis of the intubations performed during 5 years of helicopter emergency medical service in Amsterdam.** *Air Med J* 2004, **23**:36-7
- 81 Sloane C, Vilke GM, Chan TC, Hayden SR, Hoyt DB, and Rosen P. **Rapid sequence intubation in the field versus hospital in trauma patients.** *J Emerg Med* 2000, **19**:259-64
- 82 Stiell IG, Nesbitt LP, Pickett W, Munkley D, Spaite DW, Banek J, Field B, Luinstra-Toohey L, Maloney J, Dreyer J, Lyver M, Campeau T, Wells GA, and OS Group. **The OPALS Major Trauma Study: impact of advanced life-support on survival and morbidity.** *CMAJ* 2008, **178**:1141-52
- 83 Sondag CJ, Axelband J, Jacoby J, Higgins R, and Crider D. **Thiopental vs. etomidate for rapid sequence intubation in aeromedicine.** *Prehosp Disaster Med* 2005, **20**:324-6
- 84 Stockinger ZT and McSwain NE Jr. **Prehospital endotracheal intubation for trauma does not improve survival over bag-valve-mask ventilation.** *J Trauma* 2004, **56**:531-6
- 85 Swanson ER, Fosnocht DE, and Neff RJ. **The use of etomidate for rapid-sequence intubation in the air medical setting.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2001, **5**:142-6
- 86 Swanson ER and Fosnocht DE. **Effect of an airway education program on prehospital intubation.** *Air Med J* 2002, **21**:28-31
- 87 Swanson ER, Fosnocht DE, and Jensen SC. **Comparison of etomidate and midazolam for prehospital rapid-sequence intubation.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2004, **8**:273-9
- 88 Tam RK, Maloney J, Gaboury I, Verdon JM, Trickett J, Leduc SD, and Poirier P. **Review of endotracheal intubations by Ottawa advanced care paramedics in Canada.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2009, **13**:311-5
- 89 Timmermann A, Russo SG, Eich C, Roessler M, Braun U, Rosenblatt WH, and Quintel M. **The out-of-hospital esophageal and endobronchial intubations performed by emergency physicians.** *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 2007, **104**:619-23

- 90 Tracy S, Schinco MA, Griffen MM, Kerwin AJ, Devin T, and Tepas JJ. **Urgent airway intervention: does outcome change with personnel performing the procedure?** *J Trauma* 2006, **61**:1162-5
- 91 Ufberg JW, Bushra JS, Karras DJ, Satz WA, and Kueppers F. **Aspiration of gastric contents: association with prehospital intubation.** *Am J Emerg Med* 2005, **23**:379-82
- 92 Vadeboncoeur TF, Davis DP, Ochs M, Poste JC, Hoyt DB, and Vilke GM. **The ability of paramedics to predict aspiration in patients undergoing prehospital rapid sequence intubation.** *J Emerg Med* 2006, **30**:131-6
- 93 Wang HE, O'Connor RE, Schnyder ME, Barnes TA, and Megargel RE. **Patient status and time to intubation in the assessment of prehospital intubation performance.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2001, **5**:10-8
- 94 Wang HE, Sweeney TA, O'Connor RE, and Rubinstein H. **Failed prehospital intubations: an analysis of emergency department courses and outcomes.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2001, **5**:134-41
- 95 Wang HE, Kupas DF, Paris PM, Bates RR, Costantino JP, and Yealy DM. **Multivariate predictors of failed prehospital endotracheal intubation.** *Acad Emerg Med* 2003, **10**:717-24
- 96 Wang HE, Kupas DF, Paris PM, and Yealy DM. **Factors associated with the use of pharmacologic agents to facilitate out-of-hospital endotracheal intubation.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2004, **8**:1-9
- 97 Wang HE, Peitzman AB, Cassidy LD, Adelson PD, and Yealy DM. **Out-of-hospital endotracheal intubation and outcome after traumatic brain injury.** *Ann Emerg Med* 2004, **44**:439-50
- 98 Wang HE and Yealy DM. **How many attempts are required to accomplish out-of-hospital endotracheal intubation?** *Acad Emerg Med* 2006, **13**:372-7
- 99 Wang HE, Lave JR, Sirio CA, and Yealy DM. **Paramedic intubation errors: isolated events or symptoms of larger problems?** *Health Affairs* 2006, **25**:501-9
- 100 Wang HE, Abo BN, Lave JR, and Yealy DM. **How would minimum experience standards affect the distribution of out-of-hospital endotracheal intubations?** *Ann Emerg Med* 2007, **50**:246-52
- 101 Wang HE, Cook LJ, Chang CC, Yealy DM, and Lave JR. **Outcomes after out-of-hospital endotracheal intubation errors.** *Resuscitation* 2009, **80**:50-5
- 102 Warner KJ, Cuschieri J, Copass MK, Jurkovich GJ, and Bulger EM. **The impact of prehospital ventilation on outcome after severe traumatic brain injury.** *J Trauma* 2007, **62**:1330-6; discussion 1336-8
- 103 Warner KJ, Sharar SR, Copass MK, and Bulger EM. **Prehospital management of the difficult airway: a prospective cohort study.** *J Emerg Med* 2009, **36**:257-65
- 104 Wayne MA and Friedland E. **Prehospital use of succinylcholine: a 20-year review.** *Prehosp Emerg Care* 1999, **3**:107-9
- 105 Winchell RJ and Hoyt DB. **Endotracheal intubation in the field improves survival in patients with severe head injury.** *Trauma Research and Education Foundation of San Diego. Arch Surg* 1997, **132**:592-7

106 Wirtz DD, Ortiz C, Newman DH, and Zhitomirsky I. **Unrecognized
misplacement of endotracheal tubes by ground prehospital providers.**
Prehosp Emerg Care 2007, 11:213-8

Figures

Figure 1. Search diagram according to the PRISMA statement.

Figure 2. Cause-effect chart and factors influencing the relation between PH-TI and survival

Tables

Table 1

The 28 core variables for uniform reporting of data from advanced airway management in the field

Data variable name	Data variable categories or values	Definition of data variable
<i>System variables</i>		
Highest Level of EMS provider on scene	1 = EMS non-P 2 = EMS-P 3 = nurse 4 = physician 5 = unknown	Highest level of EMS provider on scene, excluding any non-EMS personnel (bystanders, family etc)
Airway device available on scene	1 = BMV 2 = Extraglottic device 3 = ETT 4 = Surgical airway 5 = none 6 = unknown	Airway devices available on scene and provider on-scene who knows how to use it
Drugs for airway management available on scene	1 = Sedatives 2 = NMBA 3 = Analgetics/ opioids 4 = local/ topic anaesthetic 5 = none	Drugs used for airway management, available on scene and someone competent to administer
Main type of transportation	1 = ground ambulance 2 = helicopter ambulance 3 = fixed-wing ambulance 4 = private or public vehicle 5 = walk-in 6 = police 7 = other 8 = not transported 9 = unknown	Main type of transportation vehicle (if multiple chose vehicle used for the majority of the transportation phase)
Response time	Minutes	Time from Emergency Medical Communication Centre operator initiates transmission of dispatch message to first resource/ unit time of arrival on scene of first unit as reported by first unit

Patient variables

Co-morbidity	1 = No (ASA-PS = 1) 2 = Yes (ASA-PS = 2-6) 3 = unknown	ASA-PS definition 1 = A normal healthy patient 2 = A patient with mild systemic disease 3 = A patient with severe systemic disease 4 = A patient with severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life 5 = A moribund patient who is not expected to survive without the operation 6 = A declared brain-dead patient whose organs are being removed for donor purposes Years, if patient < 2 years then months
Age	YY or MM	
Gender	1 = Female 2 = Male 3 = unknown	Patients gender
Patient category	1 = Blunt trauma (incl burns) 2 = Penetrating trauma 3 = Non trauma (incl drowning and asphyxia) 4 = unknown	Dominant reason for emergency treatment.
Indication for airway intervention	1 = Decreased level of consciousness 2 = Hypoxemia 3 = Ineffective ventilation 4 = Existing airway obstruction 5 = Impending airway obstruction 6 = Combative or uncooperative 7 = Relief of pain or distress 8 = Cardiopulmonary arrest 9 = other, specify	Dominating indication for airway intervention
RR initial	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider on scene
SBP initial	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider on scene
HR initial	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider on scene
GCS initial (m/v/e)	Motor 1-6 Verbal 1-5 Eyes 1-4 Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider on scene See also GCS definitions
SpO2 initial, state: with or without supplemental O2	Number/ Not recorded 1 = Without supplemental O2 2 = With supplemental O2 3 = Unknown if supplemental O2	First value recorded by EMS provider on scene
<i>Post intervention variables</i>		
Post-intervention ventilation	1 = Spontaneous 2 = controlled 3 = mixed 4 = unknown	How is patient ventilated following airway management? If both spontaneous and controlled choose mixed.

Post-intervention SBP	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider after finalised airway management
Post-intervention SpO2	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider after finalised airway management
Post-intervention EtCO2	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider after finalised airway management
Post-intervention SBP on arrival	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider after patient arrives at hospital
Post-intervention SpO2 on arrival	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider after patient arrives at hospital
Post-intervention EtCO2 on arrival	Number/ Not recorded	First value recorded by EMS provider after patient arrives at hospital
Survival status	1 = Dead on-scene or on arrival 2 = Alive on arrival 3 = Unknown	Patient survival status: EMS treatment and on arrival hospital
Attempts at airway intervention	1 = one attempt 2 = multiple attempts 3 = earlier attempts 4 = unknown	Number of attempts at securing the airway with extraglottic device or ETI. Earlier attempts describe the situation where another EMS personnel has attempted to secure the airway before the current.
Complications	1 = ETT misplaced in oesophagus 2 = ETT misplaced in right mainstem bronchus 3 = Teeth trauma 4 = Vomiting and/or aspiration 5 = hypoxia 6 = bradycardia 7 = hypotension 8 = other, define 9 = none recorded	Problems and mechanical complications recognized on scene and caused by airway management. Physiologic complications (5, 6 and 7) are regarded as such if they were not present before airway intervention and were recorded during or immediately after airway management. The following definitions are to be used: hypoxia: SpO2 < 90% bradycardia: pulse rate <60 bpm hypotension: SBP < 90
Drugs used to facilitate airway procedure	1 = Sedatives 2 = NMBA 3 = Analgetics/ opioids 4 = local/ topic anaesthetic 5 = none	Drugs used to facilitate the airway intervention. Select all that apply.
Intubation success	1 = success on first attempt 2 = success after more than one attempt and one rescuer 3 = success after more than one attempt and multiple rescuers 3 = not successful	Successful intubation defined as tube verified in the trachea. An intubation attempt is defined as attempted laryngoscopy with the intent to intubate
Device used in successful airway management	1 = Bag Mask Ventilation 2 = SAD 3 = Oral TI 4 = Nasal TI 5 = Surgical airway 6 = None 7 = Unknown	Device used to manage successful airway or device in place when patient is delivered at hospital/ED

ASA-PS, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status; ED - Emergency Department; EMS, Emergency Medical Service; ETT, Endotracheal Tube; GCS, Glasgow Coma Score; NMBA, Neuro Muscular Blocking Agent; SAD, Supraglottic airway device; TI - Tracheal Intubation.

As identified by an international expert group [19].

Table 2
Fixed system variables for uniform reporting of data from advanced airway management in the field, identified by an international expert group

Data variable name	Data variable categories or values	Definition of data variable
Population	Number	Population count in the primary response area of the EMS
Area	Number	Area in sq km or sq miles of primary response area of the EMS
Rural, urban, split	1 = Urban 2 = Rural 3 = Split	Urban area defined as: "De facto population living in areas classified as urban according to the criteria used by each area or country. Data refer to 1 July of the year indicated and are presented in thousands" Rural area defined as: "De facto population living in areas classified as rural. Data refer to 1 July of the year indicated and are presented in thousands"
Usual tiered response	Free text	Describe briefly
Time intervals collected	Free text	Describe briefly
Mission type	Free text	Describe briefly; e.g. Mainly trauma or mixed patient population
Times available	Free text	Describe briefly
Established airway management protocols	Free text	Describe briefly
Airway management techniques available	Free text	Describe briefly
Describe type of training in airway management	Describe briefly	
Type of tracheal tube confirmation technique	1 = Auscultation 2 = Colorimetry 3 = Capnometry 4 = Capnography 5 = none	
Type of available ventilator	Free text	Describe briefly
	EMS, emergency medical service.	

Table 3
Search strategy for identification of relevant studies in Medline and EMBASE

Database	Search terms “keywords”
Medline	“Emergency Medical Services” AND “Intubation, Intratracheal”
EMBASE	“emergency care” AND “intubation/ or respiratory tract intubation”
	“title”
Medline	“prehospital” AND “intubation”
Medline	“pre-hospital” AND “intubation”
Medline	“out-of-hospital” AND “intubation”
Medline	“prehospital” AND “RSI” OR “Rapid sequence induction”
Medline	“pre-hospital” AND “RSI” OR “Rapid sequence induction”
Medline	“out-of-hospital” AND “RSI” OR “Rapid sequence induction”
EMBASE	“prehospital” AND “intubation”
EMBASE	“pre-hospital” AND “intubation”
EMBASE	“out-of-hospital” AND “intubation”
EMBASE	“prehospital” AND “RSI” OR “Rapid sequence induction”
EMBASE	“pre-hospital” AND “RSI” OR “Rapid sequence induction”
EMBASE	“out-of-hospital” AND “RSI” OR “Rapid sequence induction”

Table 4
No of times (%) each Utstein variable was collected and documented among the 73 studies included

Core variables	
<i>Core system variables</i>	
Main type of transportation	55 (75%)
Highest Level of EMS provider on scene	34 (47%)
Airway device available on scene	26 (36%)
Drugs for airway management available on scene	27 (37%)
Response time	10 (14%)
<i>Core patient variables</i>	
Patient category	63 (86%)
Age	59 (81%)
Gender	53 (73%)
GCS initial (m/v/e)	40 (55%)
Systolic Blood Pressure, initial	35 (48%)
Indication for airway intervention	26 (36%)
Hart Rate, initial	13 (18%)
Respiratory Rate, initial	12 (16%)
SpO2 initial, state: with or without supplemental O2	11 (15%)
Co-morbidity	2 (3%)
<i>Post intervention variables</i>	
Intubation success	44 (60%)
Device used in successful airway management	41 (56%)

Survival status	40 (55%)
Complications	30 (41%)
Drugs used to facilitate airway procedure	28 (38%)
Attempts at airway intervention	25 (34%)
Post-intervention SBP on arrival	11 (15%)
Post-intervention SpO2 on arrival	10 (14%)
Post-intervention EtCO2 on arrival	8 (11%)
Post-intervention SBP	8 (11%)
Post-intervention SpO2	8 (11%)
Post-intervention ventilation	3 (4%)
Post-intervention EtCO2	3 (4%)

Fixed system variables

Service mission types	52 (71%)
Established airway management protocols	48 (66%)
Area	40 (55%)
Usual tiered response	33 (45%)
Type of tracheal tube confirmation technique	31 (42%)
Rural, urban, split	31 (42%)
Airway management techniques available	30 (41%)
Population	24 (33%)
Describe type of training in airway management	23 (32%)
Time intervals collected	15 (21%)
Times available	13 (18%)
Type of available ventilator	1 (1%)

EMS, Emergency Medical Service; EtCO2, End-tidal carbon dioxide; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; SpO2, Saturation of peripheral oxygen.

Additional file 1

Aim of study, study design, TI provider, continent, number of the 28 Utstein core and 12 Utstein fixed system variables (%) reported in the 73 reviewed studies.

Identification

Records identified through
database searching
(n = 1070)

Additional records identified
through other sources
(n = 6)

Screening

Records screened
(n = 1076)

Eligibility


Full-text articles assessed
for eligibility
(n = 75)

Full-text articles excluded,
with reasons
(n = 2)

Included

Studies included in
quantitative synthesis
(meta-analysis)
(n = 73)


Figure 1



EMS system:
Response time
Provider competence
Technique
Drugs
Diagnostic accuracy
No of attempts
Verification of tube position

Contemporary treatment

In-hospital:
System factors
Patient factors
Adverse events



Pre intervention:
Age
Patient category
Ventilatory status
Circulatory status
Level of consciousness
Co-morbidity

Post intervention:
Hypotension
Hypertension
Hypercapnia
Hypoxia
Hypothermia
Aspiration
Misplaced tube
Pain

Figure 2

Additional files provided with this submission:

Additional file 1: sup1.xlsx, 1415K

<http://ccforum.com/imedia/1061700750792247/supp1.xlsx>